UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT MASSACHUSETTS

5*	* * *	
ELAINE L. CHAO, Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor,	* *	MAGISTRATE JUDGE ALEXAN
Plaintiff, v.	* *	CIVIL ACTION
R & B FOODS, INC.; BENJAMIN D. BRIENZA,	* * *	FILE NO HECEIPT # AMOUNT \$ 100 M
Defendants. ************************************	* * *	LOCAL RULE 4.1 WAIVER FORM
COMPLAINT	-	MCF ISSUED BY DPTY, CLK. 10 M DATE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Elaine L. Chao, Secretary of the United States Department of Labor, hereby alleges:

- 1. This action arises under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1001 *et seq.*, and is brought pursuant to ERISA § 502(a)(2) and (5), 29 U.S.C. § 1132 (a)(2) and (5) to redress violations and to enforce the provisions of Title I of ERISA.
- 2. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 502(e)(1) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(1).
- 3. Venue of this action lies in the District of Massachusetts pursuant to Section § 502(e)(2) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2).
- 4. Defendant R & B Foods, Inc. ("R&B") was, during the period from January 1, 2000 through December 2002, an employer within the meaning of ERISA § 3(5), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(5).
- 5. From January 2000 through December 2002, Defendant R&B was a corporation with an office and place of business in Haverhill, MA, within the jurisdiction of this Court.

 Defendant R&B ceased operations in December 2002.

- From January 2000 through the present (the "pertinent period"), Defendant 6. Benjamin D. Brienza ("Brienza"), has been the President and sole owner of Defendant R&B. Defendant Brienza currently resides at 1122 North Lion Cub Path, Lecanto, Florida 34461.
- On January 1, 1999, Defendant R&B established the R & B Foods, Inc. 401(k) 7. Profit Sharing Plan (the "Plan"), an employee pension benefit plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(2)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(2)(A), and which is covered under ERISA pursuant to § 4(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1003(a).
- 8. The purpose of the Plan is to provide retirement benefits for the exclusive benefit of its participants, employees of Defendant R&B, and their beneficiaries.
- 9. During the pertinent period, Defendant R&B has been the Plan Sponsor, as defined by ERISA § 3(16)(B)(i), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(16)(B)(i), and Plan Administrator and a named fiduciary pursuant to ERISA § 402(a)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1102(a)(1).
- 10. During the pertinent period, Defendant R&B, as the Plan Sponsor and Plan Administrator, exercised discretionary authority or discretionary control respecting management of the Plan and discretionary authority or discretionary control respecting management or disposition of the Plan's assets, and had discretionary authority or discretionary responsibility in the administration of the Plan. Therefore, Defendant R&B was, during the pertinent period, a fiduciary to the Plan as that term is defined in Section 3(21)(A) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A).
- 11. During the pertinent period, Defendant R&B was a party in interest within the meaning of ERISA § 3(14)(A) and (C), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(14)(A) and (C).

- 12. During the pertinent period, Defendant Brienza has been a Trustee of the Plan and a "named fiduciary" with respect to the Plan within the meaning of ERISA § 402(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1102(a).
- During the pertinent period, Defendant Brienza exercised discretionary authority 13. or discretionary control respecting management of the Plan and discretionary authority or discretionary control respecting management or disposition of the Plan's assets, and had discretionary authority or discretionary responsibility in the administration of the Plan. Therefore, Defendant Brienza was, during the pertinent period, a fiduciary to the Plan as that term is defined in Section 3(21) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21).
- 14. During the pertinent period, Defendant Brienza has been a party in interest within the meaning of ERISA § 3(14)(A), (E) and (H), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(14)(A), (E) and (H).
- 15. According to Plan documents, the Plan was to be funded by withheld employee contributions each pay period as determined by participant elections in amounts ranging up to the maximum percentage allowable under the Internal Revenue Code.
- 16. During the pertinent period, the contributions withheld on behalf of each Plan participant became assets of the Plan by operation of 29 C.F.R. § 2510.3-102.
- The Plan document states that no part of the Plan Trust Fund, which includes employee contributions, may be used for, or diverted to, purposes other than for the exclusive benefit of participants and beneficiaries and that the Plan was required to be administered in accordance with the terms of ERISA.
- 18. From January 2000 through and including June 2000, Defendants failed to forward withheld employee contributions in a timely manner.

- 19. Defendants failed to remit withheld employee contributions to the Plan from on or about July 2000 through December 2000. The unremitted employee contributions totaled approximately \$9,400 and are due and owing to the Plan.
- 20. On October 5, 2001, Defendant Brienza caused a distribution check to Participant Brian Murphy, in the amount of \$6,390.15, to be deposited into Defendant R&B's business account.
 - 21. Defendants never transferred the \$6,390.15 of Plan assets to Participant Murphy.
- 22. On or about February 4, 2002, Defendant Brienza caused all of the Plan's assets, totaling approximately \$47,000, to be withdrawn from Oppenheimer Funds, the Plan's Custodian, and deposited into Defendant R&B's business checking account at Banknorth.
- 23. Approximately \$18,100 of the \$47,000 belonged to Participants other than Defendant Brienza.
- 24. Plan assets that were improperly transferred to R & B, and employee contributions that were withheld but not forwarded to the Plan, excluding Defendant Brienza's contributions and account balance, total approximately \$34,000, plus interest, and are due and owing to the Plan.
- 25. From July 2000 to the present, no measures were undertaken by Defendants, as fiduciaries to the Plan, to collect Plan assets that were improperly transferred to R&B or to collect employee contributions that Defendants failed to forward to the Plan.
- 26. As a result of the conduct set forth at paragraphs 18 through 25, Defendants failed to discharge their fiduciary duties for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to participants and their beneficiaries and defraying reasonable expenses of administering the Plan, in violation of ERISA §§ 403(c)(1) and 404(a)(1)(A), 29 U.S.C. §§ 1103(c)(1) and 1104(a)(1)(A).

- 27. As a result of the conduct set forth at paragraphs 18 through 25, Defendants failed to discharge their fiduciary duties with the required degree of care, skill, prudence and diligence, in violation of ERISA § 404(a)(1)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(B).
- 28. As a result of the conduct set forth at paragraphs 18 through 25, Defendants failed to act in accordance with the Plan document, in violation of ERISA § 404(a)(1)(D).
- 29. As a result of the conduct set forth at paragraphs 18 through 25, Defendants engaged in prohibited transactions by causing or permitting the transfer of assets of the Plan to, or use of plan assets by or for the benefit of Defendant R&B, a party in interest, in violation of ERISA § 406(a)(1)(D), 29 U.S.C. § 1106(a)(1)(D).
- 30. As a result of the conduct set forth at paragraphs 18 through 25, Defendants participated in what they knew or should have known constituted prohibited transactions by dealing with the assets of the Plan in their own interest or for their own account, in violation of ERISA § 406(b)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1106(b)(1).
- 31. As a result of the conduct set forth at paragraphs 18 through 25, Defendants participated in what they knew or should have known constituted prohibited transactions by dealing with the assets of the Plan on behalf of a party whose interests were adverse to the interests of the Plan and its Participants and Beneficiaries, in violation of ERISA § 406(b)(2), 29 U.S.C. § 1106(b)(2).

WHEREFORE, the Secretary of Labor prays that this Court enter an Order:

(a) Permanently enjoining Defendants from violating, or knowingly participating in violations of, the provisions of ERISA §§ 403, 404 and 406, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1103. 1104 and 1106.

- (b) Permanently enjoining Defendants from serving as fiduciaries to the Plan or any other employee benefit plan covered by ERISA;
- (c) Requiring Defendants to undo the prohibited transactions in which they engaged and to restore to the Plan any and all losses incurred as a result of breaches of their fiduciary duties and the violations they committed or for which they are liable, with appropriate interest;
- (d) Appointing an independent fiduciary to terminate the Plan and distribute Plan assets to Plan Participants;
- (e) Awarding to Plaintiff the costs of this action, and other relief as is equitable and just.

Howard M. Radzely Solicitor of Labor

Frank V. McDermott, Jr. Regional Solicitor

Kelly M. Lawson

Attorney

U.S. Department of Labor Attorneys for Plaintiff

Post Office Address: U.S. Department of Labor Office of the Solicitor JFK Federal Building Room E-375 Boston, MA 02203

TEL: (617) 565-2500 FAX: (617) 565-2142

DATE: July 18, 2005

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Categ	ory in whic	h the case belongs b	ased upon the	numbered nature of s	uit code	listed o	n the civi	l cover sheet.	(See local
rule 4	0.1(a)(1)).				L				**
_	1.	160, 410, 470, 535,	R.23, REGARD	LESS OF NATURE OF	υ λ τ.				
_X	II.			40, 550, 555, 625, 10, , 890, 892-894, 895, 99					O 121 pyright cases
	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891.	151, 190, 210, 2 345, 350, 355, 3	30, 240, 25, 290, 310 60, 362, 365, 370, 371	•				
_	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865,		70, 530, 610, 620, 630 00.	, 640, 65	50, 660,			
_	V.	150, 152, 153.	\rightarrow						
distric	ct please inc	dicate the title no no	umber of the fir	rule 40.1(g)). If more st filed case in this co ed on the same claim	urt.	. 11			ned in dila
					YES		NO	X	
	the compla (2403)	int in this case quest	tion the constitu	utionality of an act of	congres	s affectir	ng the pu	blic interest?	(See 28
lf so,	is the U.S.A	. or an officer, agent	or employee of	the U.S. a party?	YES		NO	Ø	
					YES		NO		
s this	case requi	ired to be heard and	determined by	a district court of thre	e judge:	s pursuai	nt to title	28 USC §228	4?
					YES		NO	XX	
				mental agencies of th in Massachusetts res					
		governmentar agent					NO	X	
		governmental agent			YES				
			ision do <u>all</u> of t	he non-governmental					
	achusetts ("		ision do <u>all</u> of t	he non-governmental Central Division				ern Division	
	achusetts ("	If yes, in which div Eastern Division	 □ sion do the maj	Central Division	parties	reside?	West		
	achusetts (" A.	If yes, in which div Eastern Division If no, in which divi	 □ sion do the maj	Central Division	parties	reside?	West		
Mass:	A. B. g a Notice o	If yes, in which div Eastern Division If no, in which divi- agencies, residing	sion do the maj in Massachus \[\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \beg	Central Division ority of the plaintiffs of the reside?	parties or the or	reside? nly partie	West s, exclud West	ling governme ern Division n of this Cou	ental
f filin ubm	A. B. g a Notice of the separate	If yes, in which div Eastern Division If no, in which divi agencies, residing Eastern Division of Removal - are then e sheet identifying th	sion do the maj in Massachus \[\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \beg	Central Division ority of the plaintiffs of the reside? Central Division	parties or the or	reside? nly partie	West s, exclud West	ling governm ern Division	ental
f filin subm	A. B. g a Notice o	If yes, in which div Eastern Division If no, in which divi- agencies, residing Eastern Division of Removal - are then e sheet identifying the	sion do the maj in Massachus [X] e any motions per motions)	Central Division ority of the plaintiffs of the reside? Central Division pending in the state co	parties or the or	reside? nly partie uiring the	West s, exclud West e attentio	ling governme ern Division n of this Cou	ental

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04) Case 1:05-cv-11523-RWZIVIPOCUTER SHEETING 07/18/2005 Page 2 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained the information contained by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating he civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

L (a) PLAINTIFFS ELAINE L. UNITED ST	CHAO, Secretary of Labor, ATES DEPARTMENT OF LAF	DEFENDANTS R & B FOODS, INCORPORATED AND BENJAMIN D. BRIENZA							
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Essex (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.							
IFK Federal	Naddress, and Telephone Number 17–565–2500 No. Lawson 617–565–2500 Building – Room E–375 sachusetts 02203		Attorneys (If Known)			2. x *			
	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		ITIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES				
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In This		for Defenda PTF	ant) DEF	
J 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and E of Business In A				1 5	5 5	
			en or Subject of a	J 3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		1 6	1 6	
	↑ (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Lncs	FEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY	0001	R STATUT	rec.	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights PERSONAL INJU 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractic 365 Personal Injury Personal Product Liability 366 Asbestos Perso Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Personal Property Damag Product Liability 385 Property Damag Product Liability 385 Property Damag Product Liability Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 533 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & C 550 Civil Rights	RY Or contact of the	510 Agriculture 520 Other Food & Drug 525 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 530 Liquor Laws 540 R.R. & Truck 550 Airline Regs. 560 Occupational Safety/Health 590 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 Appea 423 Withd 28 USG PROPER 820 Copyn 830 Patent 840 Trade 861 HIA (al 28 USC 158 Irawal C 157 TY RIGHTS rights t mark SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff endant)	400 State R 410 Antitru 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Deport 470 Racket Corrupt 480 Consum 490 Cable/t 810 Securit Exchan 875 Custon 12 USC 890 Other 891 Agricu 892 Econol 893 Envirc 894 Energy 895 Freedo Act 900 Appeal	Reapportion ust and Bankin terce tation terce Influen t Organizat mer Credit Sat TV tive Service tites/Comme tge mer Challer 2 3410 Statutory A litural Acts ment Stabilis commental M y Allocation on of Infor of Fee Det Equal Acce ce tutionality	nced and tions codities/ nge Actions is zation Act Autters n Act mation termination	
XX Original D 2 F	State CourtAppellate Court	Reo	stated or is anoth spened (specified)	ify)	Multidistr	ict 🗖 7	Appeal to Judge fro Magistrat Judgment	m te	
W. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you ERISA Sec.502(a)(2) a Brief description of cause: To restore funds taken	n from	the employee	pensio	n plan an	d to fur	nd pla	an wi	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		_	EMAND \$ withhe	eld empe	PECREYES SHIP URY DEMAND:	fr demanded in	omplair √D No	nt:	
WII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER				
IATE	SIGNATURE OF A	ATTORNEY	OF RECORD			_			
July 18, 2005	Attorney K	Celly M	I. Lawson De	el	2-, -)	Processor a		
	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	0	MAG. JUD	OGE			